The meeting ofthe Tippecanoe County Drainage Board was held in the County Council Room on September 5, 1973 at 9:30 a.m. with the following memberspresent: Robert F. Fields, Edward Shaw, Dan Ruth, Fred Hoffman, and Gladys Ridder.

Signing of Minutes

Upon motion by Edward Shaw seconded by Robert Fields, the minutes of the August 1 and 27th, meetings were approved as read.

Business Meeting

In the business meeting, the Board was shown the claim of the Riehle Construction Company for work done on the Berlovitz Ditch. The Surveyor reviewed his feelings about the amount of charge per hour for labor and wanted their opinions. Board recommended we pay 20 hours @ \$20.75 per hour and an additional \$50.00 for additional labor or \$465.00 total.

30th Street Drainage

The matter of the 30th Street drainage was brought to the attention of the Board with no decision being made.

Friendly Village

Mike Norris and Dick Fidler came before the Board with their plans to discuss the needs to complete Friendly Village Mobile Home Park drainage to the satisfaction of the requirements imposed by this Board. A new description of the re-routing of the branch of Elliott done by Mid-States was required.

A portion of Mr. Wendell Flowers ground was still in trouble and Mr. Fidler said with only a .12% grade it would probably be caused by silt settling and they would flush the pipe in that area to help that situation.

When everything is finished, Mid-States need to provide the County with an easement for the newly routed branch and the County will need to provide an easement for a crossing of Elliott ditch with city water mains etc.

S. W. Elliott Ditch

The Engineer opened the continued hearing on S. W. Elliott Ditch by explaining the reasons for a second hearing. The Pipers Glen area had been omitted in the first hearing and according to the drainage law to add to the assessment role a new hearing had to be called.

Those in attendance were Paula Chrisman and Rita Lasley, both in the Piper Glen area. Each one had questions on why they pay city sewage and have to pay on Elliott too, so Mr. Ruth explained the difference between Storm Sewers and City Sewage.

The Board so moved to establish a  $.75\phi$  per acre assessment on S. W. Elliott ditch.

E. F. Haywood Ditch Continued Hearing

The engineer opened the continued hearing on the E. F. Haywood Ditch by reading the minutes of thelast meeting, held September 1972. Those in attendance were Those in attendance were: Jerome Rund, Mabel McDill Andrews, Kettie and Robert W. Kirkpatrick, Robert J. and Jane Moore and O. J. Leibenguth. Mr. Robert Leader had telephoned and wanted to cast his vote by phone to be in favor of a maintenance fund. Jerome Rund and O. J. Leibenguth both spoke out in favor of a maintenance fund. Mr. Kirkpatrick and Mr. Moore both spoke vehemently against a maintenance fund and in favor of doing the work themselves.

Mr. Ruth and Mr. Fields advised them to establish a maintenance fund, Mr. Shaw favored postponing the hearing for one more bear. Mr. Moore said if those that were in favor should have attended the hearing that he had driven from Indianapolis to protest.

Mr. Shaw made a motion to postpone one year and Mr. Fields seconded the motion.

Order & Findings

After establishing a maintenance fund on the S. W. Elliott ditch, the Board signed the Ording and Findings and Certificate of Assessments.

Upon motion made and carried the Board adjourned.

nature blongs

adys Ri@der, Exec. Sec